IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

CR No. 3109 of 2005

Date of Decision: 12.01.2007

Sub Divisional Officer ...Petitioner

Vs.

Nauria Ram and Anr. ...Respondents

CORAM Hon'ble Mr.Justice Vinod K.Sharma

Present: Mr. Rajesh Chaudhary, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr.Pritam Saini, Advocate,
for respondent No.1.

Vinod K.Sharma, J. (Oral)

Present revision petition has been filed against an order passed by the learned Addl. District Judge, Jind vide which the order passed by the learned trial Court dismissing the application moved by the plaintiff-respondent under Order 39 Rules 1 and 2 of the Code of Civil Procedure has been set aside.

The learned lower appellate court by way of impugned order has only directed the petitioner herein not to disconnect the electric connection during the pendency of the suit as in the main suit it has to be decided as to whether electricity connection has to be transferred in the

name of plaintiff-respondent or not. Learned lower appellate court further protected the rights of the petitioner by ordering that it would be open to the petitioner to raise all the bills due from the plaintiff within a week and in case he fails to deposit the amount they have bee permitted to proceed in accordance with law.

Thus, there is no order adverse to the petitioner. No injustice has been caused to the petitioner so as to invoke the jurisdiction of this Court under Article 227 of the Constitution of India.

Dismissed.

(Vinod K.Sharma)
Judge

12.01.2007
rp